



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,438	04/11/2001	Kazim Sevens	2238-080	5287
7590 05/06/2004			EXAMINER	
LOWELL W. GRESHAM, ESQ.			NGUYEN, LEE	
MESCHKOW of Suite 409	& GRESHAM, P.L.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5727 North Seventh Street Phoenix, AZ 85014			2682	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				,			
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/833,4	38	SEVENS ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		LEE NG		2682			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum set to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no er munication. (30) days, a reply within the sta statutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .					
·	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is i	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the la) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 20</u> is/are allowed Claim(s) <u>15,19 and 21</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricted.	are withdrawn from co d. ed.			·		
Application	on Papers						
9)[]] 7	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	•				
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected t	<u> </u>			* -		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have been or documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment	(s)	,					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)		

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 4/11/2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly

Art Unit: 2682

owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 15, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scarpa (US 5,487,186).

Regarding claim 15, Scarpa teaches a method of tuning to a desired frequency channel a radio-frequency receiver, said method comprising: operating a process-variant test circuit 122-132 (fig. 1) to estimate an actual center frequency of an intermediate frequency filter 110 (col. 8, lines 50-53); forming a tuning parameter in response to said estimated actual center frequency and said desired frequency channel (col. 8, lines 44-63); and applying said tuning parameter to a tunable local oscillator 112 which generates a local oscillator signal that, when mixed in a downconversion mixer 108 with an RF signal from said desired frequency channel, generates an IF signal exhibiting approximately said actual center frequency of said IF filter (col. 8, lines 44-64). Scarpa fails to teach having a common semiconductor substrate on which an intermediate frequency filter and a process-variant test circuit are formed. It is taken official notice that integrating all receiver's components in a single IC is conventionally well

Art Unit: 2682

known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the filter and the process variant circuits into the receiver's IC in order to reduce the size of the receiver.

Regarding claim 19, Scarpa inherently teaches the step of repeating (col. 4, lines 3-4).

Regarding claim 21, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 15.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 16, the prior art of record fails to teach the process-invariant circuit as claimed.

Regarding claim 17, the prior art of record fails to teach the processvariant circuit as claimed.

6. Claims 1-14, 20 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 1, the prior art of record fails to teach the processvariant circuit that uses the same resistor-forming semiconductor process as the intermediate frequency filter and a control circuit coupled as claimed.

Regarding claim 20, the prior art of record fails to teach the formation of the intermediate frequency filter and the process-variant as claimed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sempel et al. (US 5,408,196) teaches tuning the oscillator corresponding to pass band of the bandpass filter (see entire document).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703)-308-5249. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, VIVIAN CHIN can be reached on (703) 308-6739.

Art Unit: 2682 ·

Page 6

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEE NGUYEN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2682